



ILAC Policy for Participation in National and International Proficiency Testing Activities

ILAC-P9:2005

© Copyright ILAC 2005

ILAC encourages the authorised reproduction of its publications, or parts thereof, by organisations wishing to use such material for areas related to education, standardisation, accreditation, good laboratory practice or other purposes relevant to ILAC's area of expertise or endeavour.

Organisations seeking permission to reproduce material from ILAC publications must contact the ILAC Chair or Secretariat in writing or via electronic means such as email.

The request for permission should clearly detail

- 1) the ILAC publication, or part thereof, for which permission is sought;
- 2) where the reproduced material will appear and what it will be used for;
- 3) whether the document containing the ILAC material will be distributed commercially, where it will be distributed or sold, and what quantities will be involved;
- 4) any other background information that may assist ILAC to grant permission.

ILAC reserves the right to refuse permission without disclosing the reasons for such refusal.

The document in which the reproduced material appears must contain a statement acknowledging ILAC's contribution to the document.

ILAC's permission to reproduce its material only extends as far as detailed in the original request. Any variation to the stated use of the ILAC material must be notified in advance in writing to ILAC for additional permission.

ILAC shall not be held liable for any use of its material in another document.

Any breach of the above permission to reproduce or any unauthorised use of ILAC material is strictly prohibited and may result in legal action.

To obtain permission or for further assistance, please contact:

The ILAC Secretariat,
c/- NATA,
7 Leeds Street,
Rhodes, NSW, Australia, 2138,
Fax: +61 2 9743 5311,
Email: ilac@nata.asn.au



ILAC Policy for Participation in National and International Proficiency Testing Activities

ILAC-P9:2005

Table of Contents

Preamble.....	5
Purpose.....	5
Authorship	5
Implementation Date	5
Introduction.....	6
Scope.....	6
Terminology.....	6
ILAC Policy.....	6
References	7

Preamble

This document is based on existing regional cooperation documents – ie “EA Policy for Participation in National and International Proficiency Testing Activities – EA-2/10, August 2001” and “Summary of APLAC Proficiency Testing Requirements – APLAC: 2000”.

Purpose

This policy sets out the requirements for accreditation bodies on the use of proficiency testing activities in the accreditation process.

Authorship

This procedure was prepared by the ILAC Accreditation Committee and endorsed by the ILAC membership.

Implementation Date

The implementation date for this document is 1 January 2006. ILAC Full Members must comply with the requirements detailed in this document by this date.

ILAC policy for participation in national and international proficiency testing activities

1. Introduction

According to ISO/IEC 17025 [1] a laboratory shall have quality control procedures for monitoring the validity of tests and calibrations undertaken. This monitoring may include the participation in interlaboratory comparisons or proficiency testing programmes, but also other means e.g. the regular use of certified reference materials or replicate tests or calibrations using the same or different methods. By these means a laboratory can provide evidence of its competence to its clients and the accreditation body.

2. Scope

This policy sets out the requirements for and gives guidance to the accreditation bodies on the use of proficiency testing activities in the accreditation process and as a tool in the process of harmonization for multilateral and bilateral agreements [2,3].

3. Terminology

- 3.1 Proficiency testing (PT) is the determination of the calibration or testing performance of a laboratory or the testing performance of an inspection body by means of interlaboratory comparison.
- 3.2 Interlaboratory comparison (ILC) is the organization, performance and evaluation of calibrations/tests on the same or similar calibrations/tests items by two or more laboratories in accordance with predetermined conditions.

4. ILAC policy

- 4.1 Accreditation bodies seeking to sign or seeking to maintain the status as signatory to the ILAC Multilateral Recognition Arrangement need to demonstrate the technical competence of their accredited calibration and testing laboratories. One of the elements by which accredited calibration and testing laboratories demonstrate technical competence is by satisfactory participation in PT activities where such activities are available (see Note 1). Where applicable, this holds as well for accredited inspection bodies with regard to their testing activities.

Note1: It is recognized that there are particular areas where proficiency testing is just not practical or does not exist. Proficiency testing activities are considered to be a powerful and effective tool to determine the performance of individual laboratories for specific tests or measurements and to monitor laboratories' continuing performance.

The accreditation bodies shall have a policy on such activities, taking into account the economic impact on laboratories. Good performance in PT activities shall especially be considered when taking other surveillance measures, as specified in ILAC-G10:1996 [4].

The recommended minimum amount of appropriate PT activities (see Note 2) per laboratory is:

- one activity prior to gaining accreditation (see Note 3);
- one activity relating to each major sub discipline of a laboratory's scope of accreditation (see Note 4) within four years (see Note 5).

Note 2: Appropriate PT activities include any ILC or measurement audit which monitors the laboratory's performance, for example those conducted by national or regional accreditation bodies or co-operations, government, industry or commercial providers of formal PT schemes.



Note 3: National accreditation bodies should identify those areas in the accreditation scope which are suitable to demonstrate the competence of a laboratory for the main field of activities by PT prior to accreditation.

Note 4: Examples of major sub disciplines are given below:

- environmental analysis: soil (including solid waste, sludge, compost);
- environmental analysis: water (waste water, ground water, drinking water);
- environmental analysis: air (emission and immission);
- materials testing: chemical parameters;
- materials testing: physical quantities;
- materials testing: non destructive testing;
- dimensional metrology;
- pressure measurements.

Note 5: If there are significant changes to laboratory's staff or scope of accreditation, accreditation bodies may shorten that interval.

4.2 Accreditation bodies need to fully document their policies and procedures in relation to PT activities (see Note 6). In particular, they must be able to evaluate, through the accreditation process, that the participation in PT activities of laboratories accredited by them is effective and that corrective actions are carried out when necessary (see Note 7).

Note 6: Accreditation bodies should support the use of appropriate PT programmes which meet the essential recommendations of ISO/IEC Guide 43-1 [5] or ILAC-G13 [6], where applicable.

Note 7: Relevant guidance is given in ISO/IEC Guide 43-2 [7].

5. References

1	ISO/IEC 17025:2005	General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories
2	ISO/IEC 17011:2004	General Requirements for Bodies providing assessment and accreditation of conformity assessment bodies
3	ILAC-P1:2003 (under review)	ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement : Requirements for Evaluation of Accreditation Bodies
4	ILAC-G10:1996 (under review)	Harmonised Procedures for Surveillance and Reassessment of Accredited Laboratories
5	ISO/IEC Guide 43-1:1997	Proficiency Testing by Interlaboratory Comparisons-Part 1 : Development and Operation of Proficiency Testing Schemes.
6	ILAC-G13:2000	Guidelines for the Requirements for the Competence of Providers of Proficiency Testing Schemes
7	ISO/IEC Guide 43-2:1997	Proficiency Testing by Interlaboratory Comparisons - Part 2: Selection and Use of Proficiency Testing Schemes by Laboratory Accreditation Bodies